

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/161,462

Applicant(s)

Campana et al

Examiner

William Trost

Group Art Unit

2744

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William Trost

(3) _____

(2) Donald Stout (Appl. Representative)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 19, 1999Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 86-435

Identification of prior art discussed:

Breeden (4,994,797) and Nelson (4,814,763)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative discussed the fact that the preamble of claims 86-395 and 436-467 is to be considered in construing the scope of the claims. Prior art was discussed with respect to claims 396-435, and Applicant will be submitting a continuation application on these claims, and will cancel these claims in the current application. The examiner pointed out 112/2nd problems with respect to certain claims (e.g. - 101) and Applicant agreed to amend these claims, and will submit a preliminary amendment addressing these concerns.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.


WILLIAM TROST
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2744